



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,898	09/16/2003	Aron T. Lunde	2269-5457US (01-1366.00/U)	4518
24247	7590	11/16/2005	EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			NGUYEN, DILINH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/663,898

Applicant(s)

LUNDE ET AL.

Examiner

DiLinh Nguyen

Art Unit

2814

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 12 and 20-22 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-11 and 13-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 9, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (U.S. Pat. 6486005) in view of Chua et al. (U.S. Pat. 2004/0043533).

Kim discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

a first functional die 31a including at least a first bond pad 32;

at least a second functional die 31b including at least a second bond pad 32, the at least a second functional die formed as a unitary integral wafer segment with the first functional die (fig. 3A); and

an adjacent die interconnection circuit 38 operably coupling the at least the first bond pad of the first functional die with the at least the second bond pad of the at least the second functional die (fig. 3G, column 3, lines 47 et seq.).

Kim does not disclose that the at least a second functional die maintained as a unitary integral wafer segment with the first functional die, the unitary integral wafer segment physically detached from any other wafer segments of a wafer.

However, Chua et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a plurality of dice 404, wherein at least a second functional die formed and maintained as a unitary integral wafer segment 406 with a first functional die, the unitary integral wafer segment physically detached from any other wafer segments of a wafer 402 (figs. 4-5, paragraph 0045). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device structure of Kim et al. by having at least a second functional die maintained as a unitary integral wafer segment with a first functional die, the unitary integral wafer segment physically detached from any other wafer segments of a wafer, as taught by Chua et al., the two dice formed and maintained as a unitary integral wafer segment physically detached from any other wafer segments of a wafer would assure in quality and reduce complexity of implementation of a chip size package (paragraph 0046).

- Regarding claim 2, Kim discloses that the adjacent die interconnection circuit includes at least one conductor segment 38 having a first end electrically coupled to the at least the first bond pad and a second end electrically coupled to the at least the second bond pad (fig. 3G).
- Regarding claim 3, Kim discloses that the adjacent die interconnection circuit further includes a conductive bump 40 electrically coupled to the at least one conductor segment configured for operatively coupling the at least one conductor segment of the semiconductor device with the substrate contact of a high level packaging element (fig. 3G).

Art Unit: 2814

- Regarding claim 4, Kim discloses that the first functional die and the second functional die are immediately adjacent (fig. 3G).
 - Regarding claim 9, Kim discloses a segment of a semiconductor wafer, comprising: two functional dice 31a and 31b each including at least one bond pad 32, the two functional dice being on a unitary integral wafer segment (fig. 3A); and an adjacent die interconnection circuit 38 for mutually operably coupling each at least one bond pad of the two functional dice to at least one other bond pad 32 of the two functional dice (fig. 3G, column 3, lines 47 et seq.).
 - Regarding claim 11, Kim discloses that the adjacent die interconnection circuit includes at least one conductor segment 38 for coupling between each of the two functional dice, the conductor segment including a first end electrically coupled to the at least one bond pad on one of the two functional dice and a second end electrically coupled to the at least one bond pad on another of the two functional dice (fig. 3G).
 - Regarding claim 13, Kim discloses that the two functional dice are immediately adjacent on the segment of semiconductor wafer (fig. 3G).
3. Claims 5, 10, 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (U.S. Pat. 6,486,005) in view of Chua et al. (U.S. Pub. 2004/0043533) and further in view of Farnworth et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,744,067).
- Regarding claims 5, 10, 14-15, Kim and Chua et al. substantially disclose all the limitations as claimed above except for the first functional die and the second functional die are separated by at least one nonfunctional die.

However, Farnworth et al. disclose that a first functional die and a second functional die are separated by at least one nonfunctional die (column 3, lines 28-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to test the device structure of the above combination by having the nonfunctional die between the first and second functional dice, as taught by Farnworth et al., such the nonfunctional die between the two functional dice for testing of each individual die or groups of dice in order to determine and segregate operational dice from nonfunctional die (column 8, lines 25-28).

- Regarding claim 16, Kim discloses that the first functional die and the second functional die are immediately adjacent on the semiconductor wafer (fig. 3G).
- Regarding claim 17, Farnworth et al. discloses that the first functional die and the second functional die are separated by at least one nonfunctional die on the semiconductor wafer (column 3, lines 28-31).
- Regarding claim 18, Kim discloses that the adjacent die interconnection circuit includes at least one conductor segment 38 having a first end electrically coupled to the first bond pad and a second end electrically coupled to the second bond pad for electrically coupling the first bond pad with the second bond pad (fig. 3G).
- Regarding claim 19, Kim discloses that the adjacent die interconnection circuit further includes a conductive bump 40 electrically coupled to the at least one conductor segment configured for operatively coupling the at least one conductor segment of the semiconductor wafer with a contact of a higher level packaging (fig. 3G).

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 6-8, 12 and 20-22 are allowed.

See the examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the previous office action.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 9-11 and 13-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See the above new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN


HOAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER